

November 25, 1931.

Mr. W. A. Wilson,
Kamas, Utah.

Dear Sir:

RE: APP. NO. *Boulder Co.* ~~10910.~~

I have your letter of November 23 with reference to my rejection of the above numbered application and note your various statements, some of which I have been unable to check up.

I have been unable to find any application of later priority than yours which has either been filed in this office or approved. There are three applications of comparatively recent date, Nos. 10338, 10726, and 10983. Apparently these were all approved before you made your filing.

During the past two years I have had much correspondence with the water users on Boulder Creek, some of it looking towards a general adjustment of the situation on the basis of cooperation of all concerned and it is this condition which was the controlling consideration in my rejection of your application.

According to the records of this application you were allowed until October 1 in which to make answer to the protest, which time was later on extended to November 15. Apparently an answer was filed before that time but held up on account of failure to send filing fee. In any event the application would have been rejected on the grounds of being detrimental to public welfare. I believe the efforts of yourself and associates should be directed towards a friendly adjustment of difficulties rather than appealing this case or making additional filings.

Yours very truly,

State Engineer.

GMB/E